1	GAUNTLETT & ASSOCIATES David A. Gauntlett (SBN 96399)						
2	James A. Lowe (SBN 214383) Andrew M. Sussman (SBN 112418)						
3	18400 Von Karman, Suite 300 Irvine, California 92612						
4	Telephone: (949) 553-1010						
5	Facsimile: (949) 553-2050 info@gauntlettlaw.com						
6	jal@gauntlettlaw.com ams@gauntlettlaw.com						
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
8	LOPEZ TAX SERVICE, INC., CARLOS C. LOPEZ, KRISTEENA S. LOPEZ, and LATINO TAX PROFESSIONALS ASSOCIATION, LLC						
9							
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION						
13							
14	LOPEZ TAX SERVICE, INC., a California corporation, CARLOS C. LOPEZ, an) Case No. CV12-02654-JSW) [Consolidated with Case No. CV12-04181-JSW]					
15	individual, KRISTEENA S. LOPEZ, an individual, and LATINO TAX)					
16	PROFESSIONALS ASSOCIATION, LLC, a California limited liability company,	Hon. Jeffrey S. White					
17	Plaintiffs,	REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM OF THE					
18	vs.) INCOME TAX SCHOOL, INC.					
19	THE INCOME TAX SCHOOL, INC., a						
20	Virginia corporation,						
21	Defendant.						
22		_)					
23	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIM						
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							

1 REPLY TO COUNTERCLAIM In reply to the Counterclaim of The Income Tax School, Inc. ("ITS"), Carlos C. Lopez, 2 3 Kristeena S. Lopez, Latino Tax Professionals Association, LLC ("LTPA"), and Lopez Tax Service, 4 Inc. ("LTS") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") allege: 5 **Parties** 1. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 6 7 truth of the allegations of paragraph 1 of the Counterclaim and therefore deny them. 8 2. Plaintiffs admit that Carlos C. Lopez is an individual resident of California, a 9 shareholder and an officer of LTS, and a member of LTPA. Plaintiffs deny each and every 10 remaining allegation in paragraph 2 of the Counterclaim. 11 3. Plaintiffs admit that Kristeena S. Lopez is an individual resident of California, an officer of LTS, and a member of LTPA. Plaintiffs deny each and every remaining allegation in 12 13 paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim. 14 4. Plaintiffs admit the allegations of paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim. 15 5. Plaintiffs admit the allegations of paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim. 16 **Facts** 17 6. Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 18 truth of the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Counterclaim and therefore deny them. 19 7. Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim. 8. 20 Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 21 truth of the allegations in paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim and therefore deny them. 9. 22 Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim. 23 10. Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim. Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 11 of the Counterclaim. 24 11. 12. 25 Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the 26 truth of the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim and therefore deny them. 27 13. Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim. 28 Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 14 of the Counterclaim. 14.

1	15.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 15 of the Counterclaim.				
2	16.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 16 of the Counterclaim.				
3	17.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 17 of the Counterclaim.				
4	18.	Plaintiffs admit that an author has certain copyrights in works but denies each and				
5	every other allegation in paragraph 18 of the Counterclaim.					
6	19.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.				
7	Count I – Copyright Infringement					
8	20.	Answering paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim, Plaintiffs reference and incorporate the				
9	above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.					
10	21.	Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the				
11	truth of the a	allegations in paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim and therefore deny them.				
12	22.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim.				
13	23.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 23 of the Counterclaim.				
14	24.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 24 of the Counterclaim.				
15		Count II – Violation of Digital Millennium Copyright Act (17 U.S.C. § 1202)				
16	25.	Answering paragraph 25 of the Counterclaim, Plaintiffs hereby reference and				
17	incorporate the above paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.					
18	26.	Plaintiffs are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the				
19	truth of the allegations in paragraph 26 of the Counterclaim and therefore deny them.					
20	27.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim.				
21	28.	Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation in paragraph 28 of the Counterclaim.				
22	29.	Except as expressly admitted herein, Plaintiffs deny each and every allegation of the				
23	Counterclair	n.				
24		AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES				
25	30.	ITS has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.				
26	31.	The claims of ITS are barred by the doctrine of laches.				
27	32.	The claims of ITS are barred by the doctrine of estoppel.				
28	33.	The claims of ITS are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.				

Case3:12-cv-02654-JSW Document34 Filed10/22/12 Page4 of 4

1	34.	The claims of ITS are barred by the doctrine of waiver.				
2	35.	Any similarity between the ITS works and the works of any of the Plaintiffs is				
3	privileged or permitted by the fair use doctrine.					
4	36.	On information and belief, ITS	has unr	reasonably failed to mitigate its damages		
5	(which damages otherwise are expressly denied) and, to that extent, ITS is barred from recovery					
6	against Plaint	ntiffs.				
7	37.	On information and belief, ITS has fully or partially mitigated any damages alleged in				
8	the Counterc	ounterclaim (which damages otherwise are expressly denied) and, to that extent, ITS is barred				
9	from recovery against Plaintiffs.					
10	WHE	HEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray that this Court:				
11	1.	Enter a judgment in favor of Plaintiffs and against ITS on its Counterclaim;				
12	2.	Award Plaintiffs their costs of suit;				
13	3.	Award Plaintiffs their attorneys' fees;				
14	4.	Award Plaintiffs their such other and further relief that the Court deems just and				
15	proper; and					
16	5.	5. Declare that ITS shall take nothing in this action.				
17						
18	Dated: October 22, 2012		GAU	NTLETT & ASSOCIATES		
19			By:	/s/ Andrew M. Sussman		
20			Dy.	David A. Gauntlett James A. Lowe		
21				Andrew M. Sussman		
22			Attorr	neys for Plaintiffs ZZ TAX SERVICE, INC., CARLOS C.		
23			LOPE	ZZ, KRISTEENA S. LOPEZ, and LATINO PROFESSIONALS ASSOCIATION, LLC		
24			IAA	I ROPESSIONALS ASSOCIATION, ELC		
25						
26						
27						
28						
	I . .					